

A Joint Standard of AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA

NTCIP 1104 version v01

National Transportation Communications for ITS Protocol Center-to-Center Naming Convention Specification

published in May 2008

This Adobe® PDF copy of an NTCIP standard is available at no-cost for a limited time through support from the U.S. DOT / Research and Innovative Technology Administration, whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

Published by

American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO)

444 North Capitol Street, N.W., Suite 249
Washington, D.C. 20001

Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE)

1099 14th Street, N.W., Suite 300 West
Washington, D.C. 20005-3438

National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA)

1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1752
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209-3806

NOTICES

Copyright Notice

© 2008 by the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), and the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA). All intellectual property rights, including, but not limited to, the rights of reproduction, translation and display are reserved under the laws of the United States of America, the Universal Copyright Convention, the Berne Convention, and the International and Pan American Copyright Conventions. Except as licensed or permitted, you may not copy these materials without prior written permission from AASHTO, ITE, or NEMA. Use of these materials does not give you any rights of ownership or claim of copyright in or to these materials.

Visit www.ntcip.org for other copyright information, for instructions to request reprints of excerpts, and to request reproduction that is not granted below.

PDF File License Agreement

To the extent that these materials are distributed by AASHTO / ITE / NEMA in the form of an Adobe® Portable Document Format (PDF) electronic data file (the "PDF File"), AASHTO / ITE / NEMA authorizes each registered PDF File user to view, download, copy, or print the PDF File available from the authorized Web site, subject to the terms and conditions of this license agreement:

- (a) you may download one copy of each PDF File for personal, noncommercial, and intraorganizational use only;
- (b) ownership of the PDF File is not transferred to you; you are licensed to use the PDF File;
- (c) you may make one more electronic copy of the PDF File, such as to a second hard drive or burn to a CD;
- (d) you agree not to copy, distribute, or transfer the PDF File from that media to any other electronic media or device;
- (e) you may print one paper copy of the PDF File;
- (f) you may make one paper reproduction of the printed copy;
- (g) any permitted copies of the PDF File must retain the copyright notice, and any other proprietary notices contained in the file;
- (h) the PDF File license does not include (1) resale of the PDF File or copies, (2) republishing the content in compendiums or anthologies, (3) publishing excerpts in commercial publications or works for hire, (4) editing or modification of the PDF File except those portions as permitted, (5) posting on network servers or distribution by electronic mail or from electronic storage devices, and (6) translation to other languages or conversion to other electronic formats;
- (i) other use of the PDF File and printed copy requires express, prior written consent.

Content and Liability Disclaimer

The information in this publication was considered technically sound by the consensus of persons engaged in the development and approval of the document at the time it was developed. Consensus does not necessarily mean that there is unanimous agreement among every person participating in the development of this document.

AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA standards and guideline publications, of which the document contained herein is one, are developed through a voluntary consensus standards development process. This process brings together volunteers and/or seeks out the views of persons who have an interest in the topic covered by this publication. While AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA administer the process and establish rules to promote fairness in the development of consensus, they do not write the document and they do not

independently test, evaluate, or verify the accuracy or completeness of any information or the soundness of any judgments contained in their standards and guideline publications.

AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA disclaim liability for any personal injury, property, or other damages of any nature whatsoever, whether special, indirect, consequential, or compensatory, directly or indirectly resulting from the publication, use of, application, or reliance on this document. AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA disclaim and make no guaranty or warranty, express or implied, as to the accuracy or completeness of any information published herein, and disclaims and makes no warranty that the information in this document will fulfill any of your particular purposes or needs. AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA do not undertake to guarantee the performance of any individual manufacturer or seller's products or services by virtue of this standard or guide.

In publishing and making this document available, AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA are not undertaking to render professional or other services for or on behalf of any person or entity, nor are AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA undertaking to perform any duty owed by any person or entity to someone else. Anyone using this document should rely on his or her own independent judgment or, as appropriate, seek the advice of a competent professional in determining the exercise of reasonable care in any given circumstances. Information and other standards on the topic covered by this publication may be available from other sources, which the user may wish to consult for additional views or information not covered by this publication.

AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA have no power, nor do they undertake to police or enforce compliance with the contents of this document. AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA do not certify, test, or inspect products, designs, or installations for safety or health purposes. Any certification or other statement of compliance with any health or safety-related information in this document shall not be attributable to AASHTO, ITE, or NEMA and is solely the responsibility of the certifier or maker of the statement.

Trademark Notice

NTCIP is a trademark of AASHTO / ITE / NEMA. All other marks mentioned in this document are the trademarks of their respective owners.

< This page is intentionally left blank.>

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

NTCIP 1104 v01 was prepared by the NTCIP Center-to-Center Working Group, which is a subdivision of the Joint Committee on the NTCIP. The Joint Committee is organized under a Memorandum of Understanding among the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO), the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), and the National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA). The NTCIP development effort is guided by the Joint Committee on the NTCIP, which consists of six representatives from each of the above organizations.

At the time that this document was prepared, the following individuals were active members of the NTCIP Center-to-Center Working Group:

- Jeff Brummond
- Steve Dellenback
- Jeff Mayo
- Greg Mosley
- Brian Pecus
- Robert Rausch
- Ray Starr
- Jeff Thorn
- Warren Tighe (Chair)
- Walt Townsend
- Ken Vaughn
- John Wintermute

Other individuals providing input include:

- Blake Christie
- Russ Elovitz
- David Kelley
- John Lathrop
- Subir Mallick
- Scott Melby
- Sonja Sun
- Jeris White

In addition to the many volunteer efforts, recognition is also given to those organizations that supported the efforts of the working groups by providing comments and funding for the standard, including:

- U.S. Department of Transportation / Research and Innovative Technology Administration
- Washington State Department of Transportation
- Texas Department of Transportation

FOREWORD

NTCIP 1104 v01 defines the naming service for CORBA for use in center-to-center communications in the transportation domain. NTCIP 1104 v01 may also be referenced by non-CORBA standards in order to define how certain items should be named.

NTCIP 1104 v01 is an NTCIP Base Standard. NTCIP Base Standards provide formal definitions of protocols or services upon which higher-level applications are dependent for use within NTCIP systems.

NTCIP 1104 v01 uses only metric units, and NTCIP 1104 v01 includes no annexes.

This NTCIP 1104 v01 standard is designated, and should be cited as NTCIP 1104 v01. NTCIP 1104 v01 is a Jointly Approved and published NTCIP Standard, reflecting the resolution of comments received during the development and approval process, when drafts were designated using a major and minor version number (v01.01 through v01.08). To distinguish NTCIP 1101 v01 from previous drafts, NTCIP 1104 v01 also includes NTCIP 1104 v01.09 on each page header.

For more information about NTCIP standards, visit the NTCIP Web Site at www.ntcip.org.

User Comment Instructions

The term “User Comment” includes any type of written inquiry, comment, question, or proposed revision, from an individual person or organization, about any part of this standard publication’s content. A “Request for Interpretation” of this standard publication is also classified as a User Comment. User Comments are solicited at any time. In preparation of this NTCIP standards publication, input of users and other interested parties was sought and evaluated.

All User Comments will be referred to the committee responsible for developing and/or maintaining this standards publication. The committee chairperson, or their designee, may contact the submitter for clarification of the User Comment. When the committee chairperson or designee reports the committee’s consensus opinion related to the User Comment, that opinion will be forwarded to the submitter. The committee chairperson may report that action on the User Comment may be deferred to a future committee meeting and/or a future revision of the standards publication. Previous User Comments and their disposition may be available for reference and information at www.ntcip.org.

A User Comment should be submitted to this address:

NTCIP Coordinator
National Electrical Manufacturers Association
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1752
Rosslyn, Virginia 22209-3806
e-mail: ntcip@nema.org

A User Comment should be submitted in the following form:

Standard Publication number and version:
Page:
Section, Paragraph, or Clause:
Comment:
Editorial or Substantive?:
Suggested Alternative Language:

Please include your name, organization, and address in your correspondence.

Approvals

NTCIP 1104 v01 was separately balloted and approved by AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA after recommendation by the Joint Committee on the NTCIP. Each organization has approved this standard as the following standard type, as of the date:

AASHTO – Standard Specification; November 2005
ITE – Software Standard; October 2005
NEMA – Standard; October 2005

History

The development history of NTCIP 1101 v01 is noted below:

NTCIP 1104 v01.06, July 2002 – Accepted v01.05 as a User Comment Draft by the Joint Committee on the NTCIP. October 2002 – NTCIP Standards Bulletin B0080 referred v01.06 for review and comment.

NTCIP 1104 v01.08, January 2005 – Accepted v01.08 as a Recommended Standard by the Joint Committee on the NTCIP July 2005 – NTCIP Standards Bulletin B0104 referred v01.08c for balloting. Approved by AASHTO in November 2005, approved by ITE in October 2005, and approved by NEMA in October 2005. Jointly Approved as of November 2005.

NTCIP 1104 v01.09, May 2008 – Prepared document for publication; edited format and front matter.

Compatibility of Versions

To distinguish NTCIP 1101 v01 (as published) from previous drafts, NTCIP 1104 v01 also includes NTCIP 1104 v01.09 on each page header. All NTCIP Standards Publications have a major and minor version number for configuration management. The version number syntax is "v00.00a," with the major version number before the period, and the minor version number and edition letter (if any) after the period.

Anyone using NTCIP 1104 v01 should seek information about the version number that is of interest to them in any given circumstance. The MIB, the PRL, and the PICS should all reference the version number of the standards publication that was the source of the excerpted material.

Compliant systems based on later, or higher, version numbers MAY NOT be compatible with compliant systems based on earlier, or lower, version numbers. Anyone using NTCIP 1104 should also consult NTCIP 8004 v01 for specific guidelines on compatibility.

INTRODUCTION

NTCIP 1104 v01 provides definitions of the naming service for CORBA for use in center-to-center communications in the transportation domain, as well as other environments that reference NTCIP 1104 v01.

NTCIP 1104 v01 defines requirements that are applicable to NTCIP environments that use CORBA and any other environments that reference NTCIP 1104 v01.

The following keywords apply to NTCIP 1104 v01: AASHTO, ITE, NEMA, NTCIP, CORBA.

In 1992, the NEMA 3-TS Transportation Management Systems and Associated Control Devices Section began development of the NTCIP. The Transportation Section's purpose was in response to user needs to include standardized systems communication in the NEMA TS 2 standard, *Traffic Controller Assemblies*. Under the guidance of the Federal Highway Administration's NTCIP Steering Group, the NEMA effort was expanded to include the development of communications standards for all transportation field devices that could be used in an Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) network.

In September 1996, an agreement was executed among AASHTO, ITE, and NEMA to jointly develop, approve, and maintain the NTCIP standards. The Joint Committee on the NTCIP formed the NTCIP Center-to-Center Working Group to develop center-to-center protocol standards. The NTCIP Center-to-Center Working Group's first meeting was in July 1996. In May 2000, the Joint Committee approved the work item to draft a CORBA naming specification.

Contents

	Page
SECTION 1 GENERAL	1
1.1 Scope.....	1
1.2 References	1
1.2.1 Normative References.....	1
1.2.2 Informative References	2
1.3 Definitions.....	2
1.4 Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	4
SECTION 2 REQUIREMENTS	7
2.1 Center Domain Naming	7
2.2 Interface Repository (CORBA Implementations Only)	7
2.3 Object Naming	7
2.3.1 Object Name Hierarchy	7
2.3.2 C2C Object Names	7
2.3.3 Country Identifier	8
2.3.4 State Identifier	8
2.3.5 Owner Identifier	8
2.3.6 Center Identifier	8
2.3.7 ObjectKind Identifier	8
2.3.8 ObjectType Identifier	9
2.3.9 ObjectInstance Identifier.....	9
2.3.10 Object Name Example	9
2.3.11 Obtaining an Initial Naming Service Reference (CORBA Implementations Only).....	9
2.4 Trader Services (CORBA Implementations Only).....	9
2.4.1 Service Offer.....	10
2.4.4 Trader Federation.....	10

< This page is intentionally left blank. >

Section 1 GENERAL

1.1 Scope

NTCIP 1104 v01 is applicable to communications between two (or more) management systems within the Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) environment.

NTCIP 1104 v01 lists the requirements for establishing names for management systems and for the objects managed by those systems. The term object is used loosely to include not only physical equipment such as ramp meter controllers and portable message signs, but also other data categories about which centers might desire to exchange information, such as incidents, as well as other data classes within the center.

1.2 References

For revision information on NTCIP 1104 v01, contact:

NTCIP Coordinator
National Electrical Manufacturers Association
1300 North 17th Street, Suite 1752
Rosslyn, VA 22209-3806
e-mail: ntcip@nema.org

For draft revisions to NTCIP 1104 v01, and recommended revisions of the NTCIP Joint Committee, visit www.ntcip.org.

1.2.1 Normative References

The following standards (normative references) contain provisions that, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of NTCIP 1104 v01. Other documents and standards (other references) which are referenced in NTCIP 1104 v01 might provide a complete understanding of the entire protocol and the relations between all parts of the protocol. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All standards are subject to revision, and parties to agreements based on this standard are encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent editions of the standard listed below.

ISO 3166-1:2006	Codes for the representation of names of countries and their subdivisions – Part 1: Country codes, November 20, 2006
ISO 3166-3:1999	Codes for the representation of names of countries and their subdivisions – Part 3: Code for formerly used names of countries, March 11, 1999
The Object Management Group, Inc.	The Common Object Request Broker: Architecture and Specification, Revision 2.3, July 1995, last revised October 7, 1999 (CORBA version 2.3)
The Object Management Group, Inc.	Common Facilities Architecture, John Wiley & Sons, Framingham, Massachusetts, November 1995, last revised June 15, 1997

The Object Management Group, Inc. Common Object Services Specifications, John Wiley & Sons, Framingham, Massachusetts, 1995, last revised December 2, 1997

The Object Management Group, Inc. Interoperable Naming Service Specification, Framingham, Massachusetts, November 2000

1.2.2 Informative References

ISO 7498:1984 Information Processing Systems – Open Systems Interconnection – Basic Reference Model

1.3 Definitions

For NTCIP 1211 v01 purposes, the following definitions shall be used:

Application Layer That portion of an OSI system responsible for transferring information over the communications stack.

Center A computer system that exchanges data with one or more other such systems within the scope of the National ITS Architecture.

Client An object or program which accesses a server through one of its interfaces

Communication Network A collection of interconnected equipments that provides a data communications service for devices called nodes, often computers, attached to the equipments.

Data Link Layer The level of protocol as defined by ISO that provides service to transfer data between network layer entities in communicating nodes. The data link layer provides error detection (and may provide error correction) for errors occurring in the physical layer.

Device Physical equipment managed by a center, such as ramp meter controllers, portable message signs, environmental sensors, etc.

Domain Name Authority A private or public entity given a contract or assigned responsibility by IANA or its successor ICANN to administer a portion of the Internet name space.

Domain A collection of interconnected computers.

Factory An object that creates and manages other objects.

Federation A collection of trader services.

Identifier String	Legal Naming Service characters as defined in the Interoperable Naming Service (INS) specification, excluding forward-slash, and period. Implementations are case sensitive and accommodate uppercase letters, but users should avoid use of names that differ only in case.
Intelligent Transportation Systems	A major national initiative to improve information, communication and control technologies in order to improve the efficiency of surface transportation.
Interface Definition Language (IDL)	A descriptive language used in CORBA to specify the interface through which clients may access servers to obtain access to objects.
Interface Repository (IFR)	Standard CORBA Service for storing IDL definitions. Most especially, a national repository or registry, connected to the internet, containing in a database, descriptions for objects and their attributes, including that data attributed to data dictionaries and message catalogs.
International Organization for Standardization (ISO)	An international standards organization. ANSI is the primary interface to ISO within the United States. Often thought to be International Standards Organization because of the usage ISO for short.
Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA)	The international overall authority for IP addresses, domain name structures, and many other parameters used in the Internet
Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN)	The non-profit government corporation formed to administer IP address space allocation, domain name structures and Internet root server systems management, replacing IANA.
Interoperable Naming Service (INS)	A means for servers to advertise their object resources under a name for clients to retrieve them.
Interoperable Object Reference (IOR)	A unique reference to an object.
Layer	A group of services, and functions that is conceptually complete, that is one of a set of hierarchical levels forming a complete domain, and that extends to all system nodes which are compliant with the network architecture.
Level	See Layer. Most commonly used in European Communications Standards.
Name Space	The set of all possible names allowable by a naming convention.
Network	A collection of nodes, domains, clients, servers, communications channels interfaces, and applications connected together physically and logically.
Naming Service (NS)	Standard CORBA Service for location of objects by name.

Object	The system representation of the information known about a device, event, center, vehicle, person, etc. NOTE — The definition of the word “object” here is different from that used in NTCIP center-to-field standards.
Object Management Group (OMG)	Industrial consortium working to standardize open distributed object-based computing.
Object Reference	Instance of an interface, defined in IDL. A client holding it may use it to access the server that provides the implementation object to obtain access to its attributes.
Open Systems Interconnection	An international effort to facilitate communications among computers of different manufacture and technology.
OSI Reference Model	The 7-layer model that describes the conceptual organization of protocols for communications and interconnection of computer systems.
Service	In communications, a series of messages defined between applications level protocols, which, in the aggregate, carry out a single activity understandable to a user of the communications system.
Trader Service	A directory service that enables a computer system to find objects based on attributes of the objects.
Traffic Management Data Dictionary (TMDD)	A standard that defines data elements and messages for use in traffic management systems.

1.4 Abbreviations and Acronyms

The acronyms used in NTCIP 1104 v01 are defined as follows:

ANSI	American National Standards Institute
CORBA	Common Object Request Broker Architecture
DATEX-ASN	Data Exchange in ASN.1
FTP	File Transfer Protocol
HTTP	Hypertext Transfer Protocol
NTCIP	National Transportation Communications for Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) Protocol

OSI	Open Systems Interconnection
PRL	Profile Requirements List
PICS	Profile Implementation Conformance Statement
TCP	Transport Control Protocol. An Internet Transport layer protocol using sessions.
TMC	Transportation Management Center, also called Center
URL	Uniform Resource Locator. A standard name for a resource on a network.

< This page is intentionally left blank. >

Section 2 REQUIREMENTS

NOTE — The Naming Service in CORBA is a utility, which permits a name string to be bound to or associated with an object. The Naming Service allows an object to be found by “resolving” the name within the Naming Service. Servers holding object references can register them with the Naming Service, providing a string name that can be used by other systems and system components to subsequently find the object.

2.1 Center Domain Naming

Compliant transportation centers shall obtain and maintain a Center Domain Name. The Center Domain Name string shall be a standard Internet Domain Name. It shall be the responsibility of the Center obtaining a Center Domain Name to ensure that it is globally unique.

Example center domain names are:

city.toronto.on.ca	“ca” is country code
d12.caltrans.dot.ca.us	“ca” is subdomain indicator
fhwa.dot.gov	gov top-level domain
nevadadot.com	state DOT using COM domain
mission-viejo.com	city using COM domain
kcbs.com	radio station with com domain
ocair.com	orange county airport with com domain

2.2 Interface Repository (CORBA Implementations Only)

All objects registered in the naming service shall be registered in a publicly available Interface Repository (IFR). This IFR shall comply with Chapter 10 of the CORBA Architecture and Specification standard.

2.3 Object Naming

The CORBA Interoperable Naming Service (INS) provides a means for servers to advertise their object resources under a name for clients to retrieve them. Centers that use the CORBA Naming Service to advertise center-to-center resources shall use the naming convention as specified in the CORBA INS and NTCIP 1104 v01.

This naming convention should be used by center-to-center protocols other than CORBA, in order to facilitate interoperability.

2.3.1 Object Name Hierarchy

Center-to-center (C2C) object names shall be organized into a hierarchy analogous to a file system tree, and similar to an Internet URL. This hierarchy is referred to as the object’s naming context and is one branch in the name space occupied by the all names within the collection of communicating systems. According to the CORBA INS, object names are expressed as a name-structure, a stringified-name, or a uniform-resource-locator (URL).

2.3.2 C2C Object Names

C2C object names shall be comprised of seven parts: country ID, state ID, owner (e.g., agency) ID, center ID, object kind, object type, and object instance, separated by forward slashes. The following

syntax defines the naming convention for the stringified-name and URL, but also pertains to the information stored in the name-structure.

{Object Name} ::= {CountryID}"/"/{StateID}"/"/{OwnerID}"/"/{CenterID}"/"/{ObjectKind}"/"/{ObjectType}"/"/{ObjectInstance}

Where:

{CountryID} ::= {identifier string}
{StateID} ::= {identifier string}
{OwnerID} ::= {identifier string}
{CenterID} ::= {identifier string}
{ObjectKind} ::= {identifier string}
{ObjectType} ::= {identifier string}
{ObjectInstance} ::= {identifier string}

2.3.3 Country Identifier

The CountryID part shall be the two-character code as defined in ISO 3166-1, in lowercase. Example – for the United States the string is “us”, for Canada the string is “ca”, for Mexico the string is “mx”.

Country codes are administered by a governing authority in each country for which an ISO 3166-1 country code has been defined. Country codes identified in ISO 3166-3 as discontinued shall not be acceptable as country designators.

2.3.4 State Identifier

The StateID part shall be the two-character code selected from the available ISO 3166-3 state and country sub jurisdictional codes, in lowercase.

Where a jurisdiction assigned a United States “StateID” Code under ISO 3166-3, also maintains its own ISO 3166-1 country code designation, (Guam, US Virgin Islands, Marshall Islands, etc.) the country designation shall supersede the United States state designation and the state designations shall be “those of the issuing country”.

Where a center is national in scope, or alternatively supports multiple states, the center shall select the StateID where the center is physically located or use one of the state codes applicable for its jurisdiction.

2.3.5 Owner Identifier

The OwnerID part shall be an identifier string that identifies the organization that owns the center or objects being defined in the name space.

2.3.6 Center Identifier

The CenterID part shall be an identifier string that identifies the particular center or hardware platform supporting the Naming Service.

2.3.7 ObjectKind Identifier

The ObjectKind part shall be an identifier string such as: device, event, service, person, vehicle, or center.

NOTE — The valid values of the ObjectKind Identifier correspond to the values of EntityKind defined in the reference data dictionary or model for the object being named.

2.3.8 ObjectType Identifier

The ObjectType part shall be an identifier string valid for the Object Kind. For example, if the Object Kind is “device”, valid Object Types would be the data dictionary’s formal names for devices such as CCTV Camera, Dynamic Message Sign, Environmental Sensor Station, Gate, Highway Advisory Radio, Ramp Meter, Vehicle Detector, Traffic Signal, etc.

NOTE — The valid values of the ObjectType Identifier correspond to the values of EntityType defined in the reference data dictionary or model for the kind of object being named.

2.3.9 ObjectInstance Identifier

The ObjectInstance part shall be an identifier string, which shall designate the string name for an object instance, which has been created by a CORBA factory or other means within the designated center. The length of this string shall be limited to 32 characters.

2.3.10 Object Name Example

An example complete object name is:

US/CT/CDOT-D1/Bridgeport_Ops_Center/Device/DMS/CMS12_i95NB-jeffrey

In this example name, the owner has chosen to form the ObjectInstance part by combining the type of dynamic message sign (in this case a changeable message sign – could have been a portable message sign or a blank-out sign for example), the agency’s numerical number for this CMS (in this case #12), and the sign’s location (in this case northbound Interstate 95 near Jeffrey Road). The sign number alone, the location alone, or any other not-too-long and locally unique (for that device type) string would also be a valid choice for the ObjectInstance part.

NOTE—An exchange of information about this sign would likely include data fields that include the sign type and its location, but including such information within the ObjectInstance can be useful anyway.

2.3.11 Obtaining an Initial Naming Service Reference (CORBA Implementations Only)

Centers shall make their Naming Service reference (Interoperable Object Reference) visible via HTTP or FTP. The IOR shall be stored in file ns.ior.

NOTE — The ns.ior can change whenever the Naming Service is restarted; therefore it is important that the ns.ior can be read dynamically. Choice of HTTP and FTP is provided to accommodate center security policies.

2.4 Trader Services (CORBA Implementations Only)

NOTE — The Trader Service in CORBA is a service that permits external centers to locate objects in pre-defined categories, by passing object attributes.

Servers holding object references can register them with associated categories in the Trader Service. Trader Services are normally federated whereby a request to one Trader Service can return results from a group.

CORBA centers shall export service offers for their public objects to the Trader Service. A remote client finds objects by importing service offers through a query that is sent to the Trader Service.

2.4.1 Service Offer

The Trader Service's "service offer" represents the advertisement of a service by a service provider. A service offer has the following characteristics:

- a) Service type – name that associates the offer with a particular service type.
- b) Object reference – object pointer that provides a CORBA object reference.
- c) Service offer properties – object properties that describe the service offer.

2.4.2 Service Types

Trader Service Types are used to name the categories of services. Service type names are stored in the service type repository of each Trader Service. Each service type shall have a unique name as defined in the reference model. All exporters of public service offers shall associate their services with standard service types. The standard Trader Service types shall be the ObjectKind identifiers defined in Section 2.3.7.

2.4.3 Service Offer Properties

The service offer properties are defined in the reference model for the particular object.

2.4.4 Trader Federation

The Trader Service shall support links between Trader Services for the propagation of query requests between centers. Whenever a center queries a Trader Service, the Trader Service searches its local set of service offers then forwards the query to other linked Trader Services. Matching local offers plus any offers found by linked Trader Services are returned to the requesting center.

The Trader Service shall be configured as either a self-maintained or an affiliated Trader Service.

2.4.5 Self-maintained Trader Service

The center shall install and maintain its own Trader Service and register its objects with it. The Trader Service should be federated with any other Trader Services containing information for which the CORBA center has access rights.

2.4.6 Affiliated Trader Service

Alternatively, the center shall use the Trader Service of another center with which the center is affiliated and shall then register their classes with the Trader Service of the other CORBA center.

§